Subject: Re: Graduation thesis - Camouflage - a replacement of(a part of) Chameleon
Posted by Tom1 on Sat, 13 Feb 2010 20:55:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Andrei,

Sorry, I probably did not make myself clear: I'm not judging your Camouflage project and your attempt to enhance U++. I'm not even familiar with the current Chameleon implementation -- not to mention your Camouflage plans in detail.

The point I want to make is that for those of us, who do not need widget resemblance with the currently running window manager, a single statically linked executable with the default U++ look is quite enough. The knowledge that the application will not require any SOs/DLLs on any window manager / platform is important.

I understand from your post that the SOs/DLLs would be OPTIONAL and even their 'accidental' absence would not cause any trouble for the application's ability to run on top any window manager. Is this true? If so, it's OK by me.

Finally, it is important to notice that if any implementation of platform compatible looks requires linkage to LGPL licensed components, it's better be dynamic linkage. If it is GPL, it's better leave alone completely.

Kind regards,

Tom