
Subject: Re: Graduation thesis - Camouflage - a replacement of(a part of) Chameleon

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 15 Feb 2010 11:18:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

andrei_natanael wrote on Mon, 15 February 2010 05:39luzr wrote on Mon, 15 February 2010 11:47Tom1 wrote on Sat, 13 February 2010 13:19Hi,

Please, never add any dependencies to any SOs/DLLs in packages belonging to uppsrc. In other 'optional' nests, I don't care, but just keep the uppsrc clean of them.

I seriously think the basic GUI framework should be kept as simple and robust as possible. Ultimate++ has become a vitally important tool for me to create commercial software products and anything that makes things more complex, usually causes loads of customer support that can't be charged for.

I can understand that 'eye candy' is needed for certain segment of software products, but simplicity and reliability is more important for me and my clients, so I suggest the 'eye candy' packages come in only as an option for those interested -- not by default.

No worry, your concerns are absolutely shared - I have the same issues to work with - supporting apps that consist of many dynamic libraries is significantly more difficult.

There should be no worry

I found a way to use it in U++ without modifying U++ source, someone would just add Camo package to his project and then Camouflage will be used instead of U++ theming - that's easy because current skinning implementation .

Andrei

Actually, it is true that you can provide nice .dll based skinning without even changing a single line in CtrlCore/CtrlLib...

Mirek
