
Subject: Re: Sharing and Locking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 19 Mar 2010 06:59:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gridem wrote on Fri, 19 March 2010 02:44luzr wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 01:50Quote:
No, the considered situation is a bit more complicated. Because I used not shared_ptr for global variable but weak_ptr, the object will live until it will be destroyed in thread 1. But if I was successfull on converting from weak_ptr to shared_ptr, than the object lifetime will be longer and will be destroyed when loop in thread 1 and thread 2 will be restarted. In any case the object will not be in partial (or zombie) state when it will be destroyed in destructor instead of some method like Close, Destroy or other.

Well, that is not what I mean. What is bad about shared ownership is exactly that it makes the lifetime of object unpredictable.

Yes, lifetime object will be unpredictable in sense that if my shared_ptr will be destroyed that the object itself cannot be. But it's not a problem in most cases, you can treat it as automatic garbage collector for C++. So if clients (thread 2) want to use object than you (thread 1) should not prevent them from any operation even if you don't need it. In my practice I cannot remember the situation when an unpredicted lifetime would be a problem.

File objects?

IMO, works quite well as long as only memory is involved....

Mirek
