
Subject: Re: Restrict drag&drop to one level
Posted by [mrjt](#) on Thu, 01 Apr 2010 11:22:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A bit late replying, but it's an interesting situation and as you say someone else may want the same behaviour.

The DnD stuff is quite elegant but unfortunately quite difficult to understand. We can't all be as smart as Mirek

Basically you have to know that `Accept<>` and `AcceptInternal<>` serve a dual function. When the user is dragging (ie on `MouseMove`) the function does the accept but always returns false so that when you use it in an 'if' statement the actual insert code is avoided. Only when actually doing the 'drop' does it ever return true!

Therefor, to add the level check you must reproduce some of the behaviour from the `Accept<>` function:

```
void DropInsert(int parent, int ii, PasteClip& d){
    // Check type of drag data, and restrict to level
    if (IsAvailableInternal<TreeCtrl>(d, "graph") && GetLevel(parent) == 0) {
        // Yes we like this data
        d.Accept();
        // If we haven't dropped the data yet (we are still dragging) don't do anything
        if (!d.IsPaste()) return;
        // The user has dropped it! Do the insert
        tree.InsertDrop(parent, ii, d);
        tree.SetFocus();
        LOG("accepted graph");
        return;
    }
    if (IsAvailableInternal<TreeCtrl>(d, "data") && GetLevel(parent) == 1) {
        d.Accept();
        if (!d.IsPaste()) return;
        tree.InsertDrop(parent, ii, d);
        tree.SetFocus();
        LOG("accepted data");
        return;
    }
}
```

You could replace the '`d.Accept`' and '`if (d.IsPaste())`' with:
`if (!AcceptInternal<TreeCtrl>(d, "data")) return;`
but it's marginally less efficient.