Subject: Re: deb packages don't update user sources properly
Posted by mr_ped on Tue, 20 Apr 2010 07:56:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

more afterthought on the svn idea...

It will mean that all your local changes will live in your working copy as live changes, you have nowhere to commit them (and archive them), unless you make another personal repo and juggle between them.
I'm not sure how bad this is, after all you probably don't want to divert from official uppsrc too much anyway if you plan to stay up to date, so in most of the cases the changes will be sparse and minor, thus letting them live in WC is probably ok.
(I expect if somebody has to seriously modify upp, he would branch some stable revision anyway for his project, and he will be very likely ignore further updates on that branch, so he can easily switch to own repo)
Still it doesn't feel right, like ultimate solution.

But this leads me to question whether for example GIT would not work better, i.e. allowing for both easy update to revision from updated deb package and committing and tracking local changes with proper commit log.

Any GIT expert to look into this, if it's merging allows this?
This would be maybe that final reason, why to finally switch to GIT and learn it. (although I'm now absolutely addicted to TortoiseSVN and missing it seriously on my Kubuntu box)