
Subject: Re: WHY? "Index:: and ArrayIndex::operator[]" returns const T&
Posted by [kohait00](#) on Mon, 26 Apr 2010 07:29:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, i understand your point, this is what i have written above. as for now, the Index is meant to be a container for *immutable* elements, if you want to modify the content of the container, you delete old element and place a new element. but what if you want to update the container elements in place ? you'd need means to update the internal hash map..

BTW:

i think in any case, we'd need to more clearly outline what each container type is actually for..(in most cases at least), kind of a table... describing what they are used for in most day to day cases.

currently possible:

Vector - moveable elements random access

Array - arbitrary elements random access

VectorMap - moveable elements hash access via a key

ArrayMap - arbitrary elements hash access via a key

Index - moveable elements hash access over element's value

ArrayIndex - arbitrary elements hash access over element's value

something in the sense of

<http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/stl/>

should be made for the upp containers, to make selection/comparison easy
