Subject: using Ctrl::Add; required for templates / overloaded virtual functions Posted by kohait00 on Wed, 23 Jun 2010 12:14:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hi folks,

this one is sort of freak situation in my application. nevertheless i provide it here for discussion. consider the following example.

```
class MyD
: public ArrayCtrl
//works with Label (it has no own Add() overloads,
//does not work with ArrayCtrl, it has own Add() overloads
public:
typedef MyD CLASSNAME;
virtual ~MyD() {}
};
GUI_APP_MAIN
Label I:
MyD md;
//option 1: << desired >>
void (MyD::* mfp)(Ctrl &) = NULL;
mfp = (void (MyD::*)(Ctrl \&)) \&MyD::Add;
//only works if ArrayCtrl:: class has a using Ctrl::Add
//otherwise
//error C2440: 'type cast' : cannot convert
//from 'overloaded-function'
//to 'void (__thiscall Upp::Ctrl::* )(Upp::Ctrl &)'
//None of the functions with this name in scope match the target type
(md.*mfp)(I);
//option 2: doenst work anyway, because binding to Ctrl::Add is clear.
void (Ctrl::* mfp2)(Ctrl &) = NULL;
mfp2 = (void (Ctrl::*)(Ctrl &)) &Ctrl::Add; //(void (Ctrl::*)(Ctrl &))
(md.*mfp2)(I); //does *not* call overriden Add(Ctrl&), but the one from Ctrl::
ttest().Run();
}
```

problem is following:

i need to reference the virtual & overloaded ArrayCtrl::Add(Ctrl &) member function with a member function pointer (in template environment, but this one produces same errors and solution is applicable there as well). i access the Add(Ctrl&) function from topmost derived class MyD and it works fine with all Ctrl's that have no own Add() function *overloads* (not virtual overrides). but i.e. ArrayCtrl which has Add(Value&) and others, prevents this one from compiling.

```
key line is

mfp = (void (MyD::*)(Ctrl &)) &MyD::Add;

for which MSC produces C2440 error.

i managed to solve it by adding a

ArrayCtrl.h:427

using Ctrl::Add;
```

which enables the compiler to deduce stuff explicitly, since ArrayCtrl now explicitly provides access to Ctrl::Add(Ctrl&) and the line compiles, both in MSC and GCC.

now i think of it as a general point to take position to.

why not providing using Ctrl::XXX; for functions, which we know are used often, are even virtual, are public as well, and are beeing overloaded by a deriving class?

(so far i found this to be the case for ArrayCtrl and DropList, sure there are some more)

what is your point?

PS: i could possibly circumvent the problem by specifying an intermediate class like that, exposing Ctrl::Add explicitly, but is it the clean way?

sorry for the long post, problem is not trivial though attached a test project

File Attachments 1) ttest.rar, downloaded 252 times

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from U++ Forum