Subject: Re: is there U++ coding style reference?
Posted by mrjt on Mon, 02 Aug 2010 09:21:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

kohait00 wrote on Mon, 02 August 2010 08:06good is also, that there is kind of a standard implicit positive action in many API functions, to be used as triggers or parameters or so, and they have negative counterparts that simply rely on the positive ones.


```
void Enable(bool e = true) {/*your code*/
void Disable() { return Enable(false); }
bool IsEnabled() const { /*your code*/ }
```

is really practical.

that was my 2 cents
That's a very good point IMO. These functions are largely redundant but what they do is make code more grammatical by adding verbs in the correct places. Upp code is both easier to read and to write because of little things like this. Personally I think it's the easiest coding style to read that I've ever seen.

I've been working on a .Net project recently and in comparison I find the code very difficul to read. Partly this is inexperience with .Net but I think it's also down some poor naming conventions and language design choices.