
Subject: Re: why not "T & Add(const T & x)" in all containers

Posted by [kohait00](#) on Mon, 16 Aug 2010 07:06:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

it's actually the same behaviour as with T& Array::Attach(T* newt);
and merely a logical unification of interface, that anything that ends being an object, no matter newly added or as copy, should be instantly available, without the need to again access the container to get the same. here, it actually doesn't matter the container type, it's the same situation for Vector and Array.

a practical use is this:

creating new container objects, based on some 'template' objects, and remodifying stuff that is actually different, on the new created object, pushing it somewhere to do something. this would use in case of Array:

```
void Array::Add(const T&),
```

```
then
```

```
T& Array::operator[](int i) with Array::GetCount()-1.
```

```
actually 3 invocations, that could be done in one.
```

it's maybe more of esthetic use but could again add to Ultimate's short and reading friendly code
