
Subject: Re: NEW: generic Tupel grouper
Posted by [kohait00](#) on Tue, 17 Aug 2010 20:22:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:
learned me some interesting new things about templates

i'm always looking to learn as well

Quote:
Don't forget about the GetCount()

i dont think that it is that much of use either. becasue everything is know at compiletime, and Tupel is not meant to be a base class. so i cant imagine any practicle usecase. i'd prefer to, as mirek statet, have it as simple as possible, and serve its due as beeing a compile time known simple strong type container / grouper of data types. not more nor less, except there is more things to have on it, that are of real use.

EmptyClass would be great, but..your point is really good. it wouldnt be empty. but thinking of Nuller as base class in that field is ok too. actually it is a logical requirement, when enabling the Value wrapping of the types. so it's not that tragic.

what do you guys think? is there more to add to this one?
should i add it to bazaar or will it join Others.h?

(I'm trying to 'empty' my development nest, which in time has grown with some little things maybe usefull)
