
Subject: Re: NEW: generic Tupel grouper
Posted by [kohait00](#) on Tue, 31 Aug 2010 13:44:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i was refering to the instantiation of template code itself. MSC does not even syntactically check any template untill it's actually used. gcc does check implementation. both instantiate code only on demand.

so you definitely want to stay with Tupel2, Tupel3... with their explicit implementation instead of generic fourpart Tupel, maybe thats better..

what about then to have more literal names, those Duo, Trio, Quartett? (makes code reading nicer IMO).
