
Subject: Re: SCGI Class

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 04 Oct 2010 18:28:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jeremy_c wrote on Mon, 04 October 2010 13:19luzr wrote on Mon, 04 October 2010 13:12Looking at it, I have strong impression that in this case it would be more logical to use virtual methods instead of Callbacks. I guess even HelloScgi would be quite simpler that way..

Also, as this seems to be quite short piece of code, I consider moving it to Web package (you would retain access rights there too).

What do you think about this?

I was thinking the same about virtual methods vs. callbacks.

Well, perhaps you could test the svn access rights and provide this change (and to reference example too)?

Quote:

In regards to moving to the web package, that depends on how you would like the overall structure. Say someone else comes along and makes FastCGI and then WSCGI... How would you envision those being added? I'm not saying someone is, but just something to think about.

I do not think this is really a problem - we can live with complicated stuff in separate package and simple stuff as part of something...
