Subject: Re: Protect package - A starting copy protection system Posted by mdelfede on Fri, 15 Oct 2010 10:23:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

koldo wrote on Fri, 15 October 2010 12:05Hello Massimo

Sorry for the petitions...

I think MySql would have to be removed from Protect, and included only if MySql is explicitly used. In my case I do not use MySql in any case . And now Protect package includes many MySql elements.

Could you do a basic server version using sqlite, and the possibility to extend it to other databases?. As I do not expect many clients running out there, with sqlite should have to be enough.

What is the advantage of a PHP version if C++ one works?

Quote:Please test it, It's setup on my server

For now with MySql in Protect, I cannot use it, and I really want it.

Mhhhh... what's your problem about including MySql? It's for the library linking? It shouldn't be linked anyways for client, just for server.

If your problem is about compiling the server, yep... I could do it. But you could do it also, the *only* files on which the database stuff is used (and encapsulated) are ProtectDB.h/ProtectDB.cpp.

It should be guite easy to add sglite implementation there.

If you can't / have no time to do it, I can try on this week end.

Last thing... the engine is still missing some cosmetics and a major hardening. By now a malicious client could record a client/server communication (even if it can't decrypt it...), and replay it on the client side to unlock the app.

The solution is quite simple but I haven't implemented yet.

It will be done by passing a random number from/to server, so the replayed communication will be useless.

Ciao			
Max			