

---

Subject: Re: Issue tracking...

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2011 19:35:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

dolik.rce wrote on Fri, 28 January 2011 08:28Back to the topic of issue tracking

I am quite new to this, so I would like to make sure I get some things right. So my idea about typical flow of things is this:

New -> Rejected (closed)

-> In progress (or just can stay New)

-> Ready for QA

(usually switched to reporter)

then reporter either Approved (closed) or returns it to New or In progress.

Quote:

Like who can approve an issue (can I approve issue I reported?) or who to ask for QA (Mirek in case of new features, original creator of changed code in other cases?).

IME it is best when one that reported the issue approves it. Of course, not always possible.

E.g. in #19, the task to do is me to check the code and apply it to uppsrc. When I am done, I will switch it back to you for final check and approve.

Mirek

---