
Subject: Re: New video and web browser activex controls for windows

Posted by [tojocky](#) on Tue, 29 Mar 2011 07:43:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mindtraveller wrote on Mon, 28 March 2011 22:10Cool!

It will be better to run the original Google chrome process as render and use IPC.

like here:

from:

<http://www.chromium.org/developers/design-documents/multi-process-architecture>

but instead browser process will be the U++ process.

Good things are:

1. The U++ application file size will be less;
2. The hard work of the browser will be in separate process (Google chrome or Chromium).
3. The compile time and hardware requirements for compile will be less (not minim 4 gb of RAM).
4. The stability will not be lost in case of browser crash.

Problems can be:

1. Version compatibility

Any advice are welcome!

File Attachments

1) [arch.png](#), downloaded 1022 times
