

---

Subject: Re: Incorrect implementation of INITBLOCK (and similar macros) in case when flagBLITZ is not defined

Posted by [kohait00](#) on Tue, 29 Mar 2011 12:59:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

thanks mirek, for the hint.

searched a bit in forum, seems i found sth related. please verify, this might / should go to documentation.

i've got some custom init code in a package, packed into a INITBLOCK, this all resides in a cpp file with apparently no referencing from other code, simple global/static init code.

did work with BLITZ, on both MSC and GCC in debug compile however in release, MSC didnt work, since i had to turn off BLITZ. with gcc it also only works with BLITZ enabled. so turning off BLITZ may possibly break INITBLOCK sections in one's app.

solution: i renamed the cpp file with the INITBLOCK code into .icpp file and woops, it works in MSC optimal without blitz. this assures the cpp file wont get kicked out by linker, since its code is not referenced by anything from another code section in app.

is this a general behaviour? can it be considered rule of thumb to rename the INITBLOCK containing cpp file to icpp file, if there is nothing in the file which will guarantee it's presence in linker later, like i.e. referenced code. if so, maybe this should be outlined somewhere in the docu

hints in: <http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=811> 8&