Subject: Re: FIX: UPP::Scan returns int64 for INT_V
Posted by kohait00 on Mon, 18 Apr 2011 08:46:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i'm actually not using ValueTo..
it was merely of logical convenience. the stuff i'm pointing to is what i stumble upon, while dealing with environments where the data type issue is still a matter. i'm not planning to change upp to my will, don't get me wrong here.
to have a 'predictable' interface is another design feature.. thats why i said that, dealing with EditInt, i expect to be dealing with int, no matter which container it is actually in, dealing with EditDouble with double.. this is user POV.

i agree, that EditInt probably is not needed, due to same reason why float is not in Value (remember . it's a 'legacy', but here again, legacy means compatibility of types as well (as of me).

as of the SQL stuff. i think at this point, the data has been already analyzed and can continue to be dealt with as int, isnt it?

```
 if(m >= minval && m <= maxval) return int(m);//v; <====
```

the other changes in the files are, again, only for logical completeness..