
Subject: Re: Commercial applications developed with U++

Posted by [nneilson](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2011 03:21:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

U++ is a great project.

With U++ the learning curve may be too steep to justify getting into because of the \$\$ per hour for a developer/s a Company would need to pay just to get proficient, including "unlearning" what they may be used to.

I started tinkering more than 30 years ago with Dos batch files and then a Borland C++ IDE.

Later Python with PyScripter, C++ with MSVC and Java with Eclipse and tinkered with several others
and years in between with no programming.

If it was not for the very good help on this forum it would not have been a viable option a year ago. The Help documentation that comes with U++/theIDE is much better now but still lacks an alphabetical listing of simple one line example code that a copy/paste to make something work with the functions in U++.

Java is lacking in this also but with the reallybigindex and a Google search an answer can usually be found in a short time. U++ is not that popular (yet) so a search is not that productive for things specific to U++.

Python has the best help IMO.

As mentioned U++ is great and the Help is much improved.

To be viable for many Companies to consider more documentation would be a big plus.

Neil