Subject: Re: Core: Null handling incoherent?

Posted by kohait00 on Wed, 04 May 2011 09:39:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

attached is a patch for discussion. the IsNullInstance naming issue is not adressed yet.

benefits:

- * more clear coherent interface because IsNullInstance, SetNull are obligatory
- * users can use RichValue<> as container for even own intrinsic types like float.., specifying themselves the null handling.

(but float f = Null; still wont work, since it has no converter, but SetNull<float>() can, which is a nice price to pay.

* specifying AsString<T> they can use the Value::ToString for it as well, i.e AsString<float> is already specified..

i'd have considered to have

template <class T> void SetNull(T& x) { x = Null; }

to make SetNull method optional, but Null is kind a wired with Value, which, at level of Defs.h isn't known yet..

File Attachments

1) patch0.patch, downloaded 257 times