
Subject: Re: Web framework - Skylark - first taste
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 25 Dec 2011 16:16:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cbpporter wrote on Sun, 25 December 2011 04:56Well the witz files are a form of "html based template system". Let's say you define a XML namespace `u:"www.ultimatepp.org"`. Then, you can do the include statement like:

```
<u:include page="style.witz">
```

I guess it should be:

```
<u:include page="style.witz"/>
```

right?

Quote:

This way it is compatible with XHTML. It also has the advantage that there are a lot of WYSIWYG web page editors out there that are capable of editing partial HTML pages, the one that are commonly used in web page design. The `u:include` tag would be ignored by these editors letting you continue edit the page. But finding some other syntax might confuse it. The best case scenario is that it interprets the statement as text. The worst case scenario is that it fails to parse the xhtml and refuses it as ill formatted.

I now understand what you mean, however, '#' is OK in XML and there is nothing worse than that in preprocessor, so it would be interpreted as text in any case.

In fact, I would have little problem providing 'tag' alternative for preprocessor, but I think that much worse issue is that expressions of witz (phase 5) mimick javascript and thus allow `<` `>` and `&` characters. I guess it is solved by using CDATA for JavaScript, so the same solution should work for us too, but perhaps it would not hurt to add "and lt gt lte gte" alternative keywords to the language...

Mirek