
Subject: Re: random functions proposal

Posted by [Sender Ghost](#) on Tue, 17 Jan 2012 19:08:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dolik.rce wrote on Tue, 17 January 2012 18:45 This pseudo scientific paper seems to propose reasonably simple algorithm that overcomes the obvious problems of the implementation Sender Ghost proposed.

Well, what I "proposed" (and I didn't propose, but just showed a simple solution) is useful for float numbers, not double, hence this Randomf, instead of Randomd, I think.

With qword Random64(qword n) it will be possible to do the same, of course.

mirek wrote on Tue, 17 January 2012 14:24...but it looks like int64 -> double conversion is CPU opcode, so perhaps Random64(int n) as prerequisite, than Randomf() is a good path...

According to "Random number generators discussion" it is possible to combine two random dword values (MAKEQWORD macro might be useful here) to get random qword value.

```
qword Random64()
{
    return MAKEQWORD(Random(), Random());
}
```

```
qword Random64(qword n)
{
    qword mask = n, r;
    mask |= mask >> 1; mask |= mask >> 2;
    mask |= mask >> 4; mask |= mask >> 8;
    mask |= mask >> 16; mask |= mask >> 32;
```

```
    do
        r = Random64() & mask;
    while(r >= n);
    return r;
}
```

```
qword Random64(qword a, qword b)
{
    if (a == b)
        return a;
    if (a < b)
        return a + Random64(b - a + 1);
    return b + Random64(a - b + 1);
}
```

Edit: Added possible Random64 implementation(s).
