

---

Subject: Re: container.At(not\_existed\_item\_index)  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 31 May 2006 11:49:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

qwerty wrote on Wed, 31 May 2006 05:32It would be nice if there can be:

```
Array<int> my_array(default_value)
or
Array<int> my_array(default_function(default_args))
```

or something\_like\_that...

I do not believe so. It would make containers too heavy and is not really needed in practice.

Adding another parameter to At is really very easy and in fact, does it matter whether the default\_value is specified in constructor, or in At call? What is the point of storing value inside container just because of this?

Mirek

---