

---

Subject: Re: Skylark reaching "beta" status, first 6 chapters of tutorial available..

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 09 Jul 2012 19:44:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

zsolt wrote on Mon, 09 July 2012 15:19 It is not too beautiful, I think.

But it is not extremely ugly as well... At least you now have clear sign that you are dealing with session / cookie. And IMO still less verbose than to write `http.Session("var")`.

Quote:

I did not learn the sources yet, but wouldn't be logical to put that `operator[]` and `Int()` functionalities into a separate class?

It could be the ancestor of `Http` class and the type of session and cookie members as well.

Maybe... but you also have to think about representation of these variables in witz templates.

Sure, we can have `"cookie("var")"` and `"session("var")"` witz functions, but that further complicates the code and makes witz compiler optimizations a bit more difficult (not that there are many, but variable accesses are compiled to something like array index read).

Mirek

---