
Subject: Re: moveable question

Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2012 07:04:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

```
First let me comment on you constructor, see the comments:SimpleVector::SimpleVector(){
    int n = 2;
    int * y = &n; // this is broken, you're taking addresss of temporary object,
                  // the pointer will point to random data in memory when you leave the function
    v.Add(y);
    Cout()<<*v[0]; // you can use U++ Cout() instead of std::cout ;)
}
```

Now, the assertion, AssertMoveable merely checks if the object is moveable. It is users responsibility to assure that anything that inherits from Moveable<T> (or is marked with NTL_MOVEABLE() macro) is actually moveable. Moveable is just a hint from a programmer to the compiler, it doesn't really make it moveable, or prevent you from doing moveability-breaking operations.

To illustrate how the pointers in TestMove() break things, you have to think little further, lets see what happens when you try to copy the SimpleVector:CONSOLE_APP_MAIN

```
{
    SimpleVector s;
    s.TestMove();

    // To actually break it, try to perform a copy operation:
    SimpleVector v;
    v=s;

    // Now it is broken, because the pointers were just copied
    // The v.val points s.a, which is in most cases not what you want
    // Also v.sv == s.sv, same problem
    // Imagine what happens when s is destructed earlier than v
    // -> you have pointers to non-existent objects, just asking for a crash ;)
}
```

Does that shed some light on the subject?

Best regards,
Honza
