Subject: Re: C++11

Posted by mirek on Mon, 03 Dec 2012 10:04:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lance wrote on Sun, 02 December 2012 15:00

A class not written with pick\_ in mind will(or may?) not work correctly with Upp::Vector<>, even if it meets all the interface requirements superficially.

Actually, that is not true. pick\_ is in no way related with generic requirement of Vector elements (only 2-3 methods require it).

Please note that pick semantics and Moveable are two very different things.

pick indeed is a variant of rvalue and it might have sense to replace it with r-value. Unfortunately, rvalue lacks composition rules, which means that it has to be reimplemented for any composite type, while pick generates compiler generated composite pick operations without problems. How much more code it would mean in practice is something that I plan to test is some branch in future. But e.g. for something like RichTxt::Para, it will be nasty.

Anyway, pick is not used for performance reasons in Vector. Moveable is. And that is still a bit ahead than pick/&&.

Pick is rather interface issue, allows you to pass objects from place to place without copying them (which do not even has to be available).

Mirek