Subject: Re: Doubts on a sqlite query Posted by forlano on Sat, 29 Dec 2012 14:35:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: It actually seems to me that players-update is the best performing one out of those three

This shows how deep is my understanding of the subject

Quote: It should lower the query count to 157+157+1. I'm really curious if it cuts down the overall time significantly or not

You are welcome. I've just copied and paste to get

TIMING teams : 0.00 ns - 0.00 ns (0.00 ns / 1), min: 0.00 ns, max: 0.00 ns, nesting: 1 - 1 TIMING players-update : 9.97 ms - 63.51 us (10.00 ms / 157), min: 0.00 ns, max: 1.00 ms, nesting: 1 - 157 TIMING player-select : 29.97 ms - 190.90 us (30.00 ms / 157), min: 0.00 ns, max: 1.00 ms, nesting: 1 - 157

Now it takes an instant instead of 46 seconds I discovered there is another bottleneck in my code. I am going to remove with your excellent tecnique!

Thanks a lot and Happy New Year!!! Luigi

Edit: just now I checked better and I discovered that the query do nothing ... there should be some syntax error in the query somewhere... investigating

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from U++ Forum