

---

Subject: why no virtual destructor for RegExp?  
Posted by [navi](#) on Sat, 19 Jan 2013 05:22:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was thinking of extending the RegExp class of the pcre plugin and implementing a ReplaceMatch() for it. Sort of like a replica of preg\_replace() of php or String.Replace() of javascript. However while digging through RegExp I realize that RegExp doesn't have a virtual destructor! So there are no safe ways of extending RegExp class other-then to use private inheritance, composition or free-functions instead. In other word, extending RegExp safely is not possible!?

Regards  
navi

---