Subject: Re: why no virtual destructor for RegExp?
Posted by navi on Sat, 19 Jan 2013 11:30:58 GMT

mirek wrote on Sat, 19 January 2013 12:03You are right. I think the author considers RegExp to be "sealed". Your contract is with public interface only.

Mirek

Thanks Mirek.   I guess I better get started with writing my own RegExp class then.

Regards
Navi