
Subject: Re: why no virtual destructor for RegExp?
Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Sat, 19 Jan 2013 12:19:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Sat, 19 January 2013 12:03 You are right. I think the author considers RegExp to be "sealed". Your contract is with public interface only.

Mirek
Hi guys,

What about updating the RegExp class? I personally would like it if it had ReplaceMatch(). As long as the addition doesn't break backward compatibility I don't see any reason not to add it. The class is definitely not 'sealed' for this, I remember adding the Study() method to it a while ago

Navi, would you mind contributing your code to U++?

Best regards,
Honza
