
Subject: Re: Gcc compile option proposal
Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Fri, 15 Feb 2013 06:41:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Thu, 14 February 2013 23:31Hm, now I have checked and I am actually compiling with

-Wall -Wno-parentheses

so except that (&&) warning, which I consider really way too stupid, we are -Wall compliant. OK, I could live with blacklisting the parentheses warnings. Other than that, my gcc 4.7.2 reports only one error in theide:Quote:

With clang, it is bit worse:

```
$ umk uppsrc AllFor18n CLANG -ba | grep 'warning:' | sort -u | grep -o '\[-W.*\]' | sort | uniq -c |  
sort -rn"  
88 [-Wlogical-op-parentheses] *  
40 [-Wdangling-else] *  
16 [-Woverloaded-virtual]  
3 [-Wunused-private-field]  
1 [-Wbitwise-op-parentheses] *  
1 [-Wbool-conversion] *  
1 [-Winvalid-source-encoding] *  
1 [-Wmismatched-tags] *  
1 [-Wtautological-compare]  
1 [-Wunneeded-internal-declaration] Items marked with * are present by default, even without  
-Wall option. Numbers represents unique occurrences, the actual counts of the errors in output is  
even higher.
```

At least some of them should be fixed. I'll gladly volunteer

Honza
