
Subject: Re: PipeStream - bidirectional Stream

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2013 19:47:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dolik.rce wrote on Wed, 20 February 2013 14:40mirek wrote on Tue, 19 February 2013 00:40Set
lims to value that allows fast Get/Put only in actual mode and forces _Get/_Put otherwise.

E.g. in read mode, set wrlim to buffer begin. Then when Put is used, it will go to _Put, where the
situation can be fixed (and rdlim set to buffer begin).

Well, that sounded easier than it was. It also required to get rid of the internal counter of available
bytes, because the Stream methods wouldn't update it. This led to some hidden bugs which took
me quite some time to figure out...

Anyway, I committed the changes to sandbox and it should be working now. The last thing I'm not
sure about is the GetLeft() method, returning the number of bytes available for reading. It is not
virtual in Stream, but calculated as GetSize() - GetPos(). GetSize could be implemented in
PipeStream to work correctly, but GetPos in its current form will return wrong values. Any ideas
about this? What about making at least one of GetPos, GetLeft virtual too?

IMO, GetPos/GetLeft/GetSize etc... does not make much sense in non-seekable stream anyway
(but we should update docs).

BTW, working on filter-streams, I have changed IsEOF implementation to use Term instead. So as
long as Term returns <0 value, sequential reading should work as expected.

Mirek
