
Subject: Re: Other programming languages, built in compiler and an updater.
Posted by [piotr5](#) on Mon, 25 Nov 2013 17:01:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

instead of adding new languages I think we should make u++ extensible by the users (i.e. without recompiling). the executables used for building along with some command-options still are hardcoded into the sources and not configurable. gnu make is more flexible than u++ here. what if someone attempts to make use of bison or similar code-generators? syntax-highlighting for other languages would be nice too (although not necessarily Assist unless it makes sense to connect them to c++), maybe even seek for some file-format describing it among the existing editors. some say vim is popular among c++ programmers, doesn't vim store syntax-highlighting info somewhere?
