Subject: Re: AutoTests
Posted by dolik.rce on Wed, 16 Apr 2014 14:34:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

mirek wrote on Wed, 16 April 2014 14:34dolik.rce wrote on Mon, 14 April 2014 20:12
May I suggest using Watchdog to display the results and their history publicly? This is exactly the kind of task that it was written for ;)

Does it accept emails? :)
Not yet :) But it can send them ;) It has a command-line client, that sends the results. But since autotest is U++ app, it might be easier to use the client API directly (I'd just have to make it compile as library and distribute it with the headers).

mirek wrote on Wed, 16 April 2014 14:34But generally, yes, I suppose that this info should be further processed. I was thinking

a) new svn 'tested' tag should be created automatically
b) U++ health status should be updated on website - perhaps watchdog based

Mirek
Not sure if tested tag is necessary... But displaying some status summary on website would be definitely good idea.

The best thing in watchdog IMHO is that it can put things in perspective. You can see directly how often and how much things break and how long they take to fix.

Also, with watchdog you could test every revision. If you're afraid about abusing too much server CPU, it can run distributed. I think there is many people who would contribute part of their hardware :) I'd certainly be first one to do so :)

Anyway, if I have some spare time, I'll try to set-up a publicly accessible instance of watchdog and set it to track upp-mirror and run autotest on my machine. I think that might be best way to convince you :d

Honza