Subject: How would you design a good copy/move semantics system? Posted by copporter on Mon, 15 Dec 2014 14:08:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is more of a question for people deeply familiar to the way copy-constructing works.

I was thinking of a system where:

- each class can have a copy and a move optionally
- copy works like the default copy constructor, except for classes where deep copies are needed
- move works pretty much the way it works in U++, but only destroys data that would be copied by a deep copy
- calling move on a class that does not have a move implemented will do a copy
- the rules apply based on class depth

This are the principles. I need to also do an implementation that has as low overhead as possible performance wise and that does not look particularly ugly.