Subject: Re: How would you design a good copy/move semantics system? Posted by Lance on Thu, 08 Jan 2015 00:16:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi cbpporter:

Happy New Year!

c++11 is here and will stay. The features you required are part of c++11, which means you can use it without any(almost) extra effort.

The code you quoted is like this: if base class have a copy constructor, derived class' move constructor will use the base class copy constructor to construct the base part of a derived object unless explicitly delegated to another ctor. Sounds very complicated, maybe it's easier to use an example:

```
struct base
{
  base() : buff(nullptr), buff_len(0u){}
  // copy ctor
  base(const base& b): buff_len(b.buff_len)
  {
     if(buff_len)
     {
        buff=new char[buff_len];
        memcpy(buff,b.buff,buff_len);
     }else
        buff=nullptr;
  }
  // move ctor, essentially do what Upp-pick is supposed to do
  base(base&& b):buff_len(b.buff_len), buff(b.buff)
  {
       b.buff len=0u;
       b.buff=nullptr;
  }
private:
  char * buff;
  unsigned buff_len;
};
struct derived : public base
{
   derived(): i(0){} // will call base::default ctor to consturct base part of *this;
```

derived(const derived& d) : i(d.i) {} // will call base::copy ctor to construct base part of *this;

derived(derived&& d): i(d.i){} // you may expect base::move ctor to be called to construct base part of *this.

// I do think the c++ committee should default to use base move ctor for derived move ctor.

of

// unfortunately, this is not the case. you have to explicitly delegate the construction

// the base part of *this to base move ctor, with something like this:

// derived(derived&& d) : base(std::move(d)), i(d.i){}

//

//

// this is the point I was trying to make.

private: int i;

};

HTH.

Lance