
Subject: Re: "competition" solving similar PR problems...
Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Wed, 09 Aug 2006 18:43:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Some more stats for comparison U++ vs vcf:

Quote:

.....U++.....vcf

=====

downloads_before.....1341.....378

forums_start.....17 November 2005.....1-August 2003

downloads_after.....5220.....614

downloads_peak.....5,220 (forums_start) 8,255 (Jun 2002)

downloads_lastmonth...2,562.....845

forum_members_now.....180.....230

have_articles.....no.....yes

Some conclusions:

vcf (downloads) declined despite forums and articles.

forums made positive impact ~5times for U++, while ~2times
for vcf.

vcf articles had "short lived" small impact and didn't help to restore downloads peak.

if you don't have quality of your product and community attractiveness you will lose users to
competitors fast and gain from articles will be "short lived" ...

P.S this doesn't mean that I'm against articles. I just say that if a product (including community as
a product) is rubbish, users vote with their feet (just leaving). Or you have a lot of "download
passengers" but not users. My opinion is quality. It's better to have less downloaders but to make
everyone (ok 80%...) a "resident long-time quality user". I suggest to concentrate on creating
"attractive quality community services" to be beneficial (or profitable) for its "quality residents"...