
Subject: Re: Proposal: optional int64 size/indexes
Posted by [koldo](#) on Mon, 17 Aug 2015 12:31:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with Mirek. In this case do not forget that `size_t` is unsigned, but to handle pointer arithmetics, `ptrdiff_t` is the right signed type.
