
Subject: Re: Considering different approach to Win32 release

Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Thu, 29 Oct 2015 12:43:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Thu, 29 October 2015 14:13cbpporter wrote on Thu, 29 October 2015 09:30mirek wrote on Wed, 28 October 2015 20:20use

```
class Source : Moveable<Source>
```

That said, sometimes I am thinking that perhaps that "Moveable" thing is unnecessary... (It only serves to remind the programmer that there are rules about things put into Vector).

Thanks! So NTL_MOVEABLE no longer works under this setup?

It is weird tracking things down with all the difference s between compilers and version.

I have one final issue which I know how to solve:

```
def.Blocks.Pop();
```

Now, first of all, I shouldn't be using Pop, since I do not care about the value. I should be using Drop.

```
class Block: Moveable<Block> {  
public:  
    VectorMap<String, Variable> Vars;  
    int Temps;  
};
```

I get the error "use of deleted Block::Bock(const block&)" / "is implicitly deleted because the default definition would be ill-formatted".

This another small difference. See [http://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdoc\\$Core\\$pick_\\$en-us.html](http://www.ultimatepp.org/srcdoc$Core$pick_$en-us.html), Composition.

You need to add

```
    rval_default(Block);
```

to the class.

Thank you!

I also needed to add a default constructor, but it works now.
