
Subject: Re: What is the highest version of U++ that does not require C++11 ?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 31 Aug 2016 17:29:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cbpporter wrote on Tue, 30 August 2016 09:38Quote:

Block is:

```
class Block: Moveable<Block> {  
public:  
    WithDeepCopy<VectorMap<String, Variable>> Vars;  
    int Temps;
```

```
    Block() {  
        Temps = 0;  
    }
```

```
    rval_default(Block);  
};
```

The rval_default was preventing the copy.

OK, at least for the command line projects I will use MSC14 and latest U++ for e trial period of 2 weeks.

Well, yes, with hindsight, rval_default was probably sort of mistake (maybe just communication mistake). I believe that we need comprehensive docs about pick/clone and composition issues.

In above example, removing rval_default will simply generate copy and move constructors 'std-style'. Which is OK, but I will still push for pick/clone style... (where 'clone' is explicit unless '=' is move).

Mirek
