
Subject: Re: Are there any plans to convert U++ comments to format as DOXYGEN / JAVADOC?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2016 07:30:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sergeynikitin wrote on Sun, 13 November 2016 23:42Klugier wrote on Sun, 13 November 2016 19:10Hello,

I think u++ will never move for current approach called Topic++ to solution like doxygen/javadoc. For me current solution is much better, because you don't need to write this fancy comments in your code. I think for people outside U++ it can be treated as not standard, but it is powerfull tool - probably needs several improvements. Don't take me wrong - T++ has got production status.

Yes! But We create Makefile and others for export project. And our topic++ comments & descriptions must be visible by editor outside from U++ environment.

They are online, aren't they?

That said, I can imagine T++ -> doxygen export, but would have to be done by "Documentation at other places" (<http://www.stack.nl/~dimitri/doxygen/manual/docblocks.html>)

All that said, over years I have considered and rejected doxygen comments several times, generally because:

- * it would bloat header files - I rather like to see inline method list 'uninterrupted'

- * with T++, I can have single description of multiple related methods easily, like: [http://www.ultimatepp.org/src\\$Core\\$CoWork\\$en-us.html](http://www.ultimatepp.org/src$Core$CoWork$en-us.html).

- * with T++, I have full power of richtext at hand - can add images, tables etc... easily

One disadvantage of T++ is that it would be hard to change formatting. E.g. parameter names in description will now have to stay red forever...