Subject: Re: [EFFING PACKAGE MANAGEMENT] Provide cross-distribution of packages of U++
Posted by MrSarup on Sun, 25 Dec 2016 13:44:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Honza,

After learning Fotran/Cobol on a 5 1/2 megabytes disk, I have seen number of developers today as well as the world of computers and internet growing! Amongst others, I have also seen young and intelligent developers as well as very adamant, conservative and narrow-minded developers.

The suggestion made by me is not actually from me but comes from academics. Most professors would teach this. You will find number of articles and books which preaches this.

U++ is something extremely special. Look at some other parallel works. I say this, because I liked U++ it.

However, it is not possible for EVERYONE to like such a smart project "at every costs". It takes such a lot of time in starting to learn and simply getting into the project.

Exactly this is the major draw back of every project, if this aspect is neglected.

Mind you, I do not care a damn if the developers accept my suggestion or not. This suggestion is merely in the interest of others, who may not need to follow the path I need, or was compelled to take.

About maintaining: I want to see first how far I can come up with U++. It is just the question of time to decide when I need to leave U++ project or how long should I stick with it.

While you may have an excellent experience, you may then need to appreciate such a master piece of work available in the last few years developed with FPM.

Especially from your experience, I would want to hear from you, or people like you, that FPM is one of the best projects seen in this area.

Or did you just reject and kept on making arguments to support Mirek's position? Did you understand the concept of FPM at all?

FPM does once exactly what you do many times on many platform.

So if you are maintaining many distros, why do you not yourself use it first and let us know your experience? Why prepare many binaries for many platforms, when one is sufficient?