Subject: Re: Writing Bits object to disk
Posted by crydev on Sat, 06 May 2017 08:28:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Mirek,

I worked out the test you suggested. The pipelined set function is not faster anymore. I can understand that this is the case. The SSE2 vectorized set function now is around 30% faster:

```
// Non naive vector test :D
const int VectorBoolOrBitsetTestBitSetVectorizedNonNaive(Bits& buffer, const Vector<unsigned char>& rand)
{
 unsigned char vec[32];
 const int count = rand.GetCount();
 for (int i = 0; i < count; i += sizeof(vec))
 {
  for (int j = 0; j < sizeof(vec); ++j)
  {
   vec[j] = rand[i + j] > 50 ? 0x80 : 0x0;
  }

  // Use the vectorized set method.
  buffer.VectorSetNonNaive(i, vec);
 }
 int alloc = 0;
 buffer.Raw(alloc);
 return alloc;
}

// The non naive vector set function, that actually implements only the vector
// setting, and not also the comparison of the input!
void Bits::VectorSetNonNaive(int i, const unsigned char vec[32])
{
 // Check whether i is within the bounds of the container.
 ASSERT(i >= 0 && alloc >= 0);

 // Get the DWORD index for the internal buffer.
 int q = i >> 5;

 // Do we need to expand the internal buffer first?
 if(q >= alloc)
  Expand(q);

 // Get the bit index of the next available DWORD.
 i &= 31;
```

```
 // Create a bitmask with vector intrinsics.
 __m128i boolVecLow = _mm_load_si128((__m128i*)vec);
 __m128i boolVecHigh = _mm_load_si128((__m128i*)(vec + 16));
 const int bitMaskLow = _mm_movemask_epi8(boolVecLow);
 const int bitMaskHigh = _mm_movemask_epi8(boolVecHigh);

 // Set the resulting WORD.
 LowHighDword w;
 w.dw = bp[q];
 w.w1 = (short)bitMaskLow;
 w.w2 = (short)bitMaskHigh;
 bp[q] = w.dw;
}
```

However, I was thinking: if I use vectorized code, I should be allowed to vectorize everything, including the comparison of the input values! I thought about my own use case (where I indeed also have to perform the kind of comparison you suggested), and I realized that this comparison could be vectorized. When I did so, the speeds of the SSE2 and AVX2 vectorized code examples skyrocketed. I understand that you may think of the vectorized comparison as a 'proof-of-concept' rather than a realistic implementation for U++, but I can actually utilize this implementation for my memory scanner. Besides, it was fun to write code like this. I am surprised how easy it can be done and how easily the game is played out. :)

The source code is of the other tests is once again located at my Bitbucket repository:
https://bitbucket.org/evolution536/cry-performance-test/src/212c3b4f51a29efa0e70841e76cceb11bcaacf06/VectorBoolOrBitsetTest.cpp?at=master&fileviewer=file-view-default

What do you think about a possible 'multi-set' implementation with an interface like:

void Set(int i, const Vector<bool>& vec, const int count);

Such function could contain a loop for a vector implementation, and the sequential Set function for the remainder. Maybe also a set function that allows you to manually prepare the dwords and have the set function solely manage the allocation and positioning for you. :)

crydev

File Attachments

1) Capture.PNG, downloaded 630 times