

---

Subject: Re: Choosing the best way to go full UNICODE

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 31 May 2017 13:50:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cbpporter wrote on Wed, 31 May 2017 15:38mirek wrote on Wed, 31 May 2017 16:34

I also think that (maybe on flag), I would like the basic encoding extended to full 32-bits (with error flag). The reason is that it could be handy outside character use (e.g. storing relative offsets of something).

Sorry, I do not understand what you mean here...

Ah, it is not really unicode related. But sometimes you have a set of 32-bit (or 31-bit) numbers where you know that most of them are <128 (but some are not) and want to store it effectively. So I was thinking that it would be nice to reuse the very same code for this...

---