

---

Subject: Re: umk static version

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 01 Apr 2020 08:26:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

amrein wrote on Wed, 01 April 2020 10:05

I would like to change these defaults here. My current idea, maybe wrong, is that U++ will scan folders from the one where binary is up to root and tries to find ".config" (or .upp, not quite decided yet). Only if it does not find one, it would use ~/.upp/umk or ~/.config/umk.

Alternatively (or additionally), I can imagine that umk can easily run without configuration files...

It's better to use default config folder on Windows, Linux or Mac and have the opportunity to define your own config folder for package sandboxing.

Not sure about Linux/Mac, but after 15 years of trying various things, current approach used in Windows is far the best. That is: everything is stored in the same folder you get after unpacking the archive.

Using default config folder (like ~/.config) has various disadvantages compared to this simplistic approach. E.g. you cannot have two isolated U++ variants at the same time. If you delete U++ folder, you still have remnants in ~/.config. Etc...

So yes, my personal preference for improvement here is to sandbox it.

Now the suggested mechanism is not quite elegant. It is just the first approach that came to my mind to solve the problem in a way to keep things sanboxed while allowing normal ~/.config too (developed application would be normally produced to upp/out, so would pick upp/.config as well; when installed elsewhere, these would default to standard ~/.config).

---