
Subject: Re: New experimental Linux/FreeBSD release

Posted by [amrein](#) on Fri, 03 Apr 2020 13:25:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Fri, 03 April 2020 11:56amrein wrote on Fri, 03 April 2020 10:13

Installing gcc-c++ is enough to build umk. Then umk should tell what dependencies are missing (pkg-config and others).

So I wondered how you mean this. I hoped that your tarball takes care about it, but now I see that you expect to add functionality to 'umk' that stops on failed pkg-config?

But even so, that would only list the names of missing libraries. The names of packages are different...

Mirek

Yes the name of package are different and distribution dependent.

It means that if you want to simplify the use of U++ tarball, you will have to know each package name for each distributions. :?

Can you try again to play as clueless user? :blush:

Here are two files. One is an up to date Makefile. The second one is a list of dependencies for a few Linux distribution used by this Makefile.

What do you think?

edit: Still, umk and theide should be able to tell when there are missing dependencies. They fail without explicit reasons. Same thing for current uppsrc/Makefile.in and uppsrc/uMakefile.in.

File Attachments

1) [MakefileAndBuildRequires.tar.gz](#), downloaded 247 times
