

Hello Xemuth,

I am glad you ask :) I like threads like this!

-
1. You could create API similar to Unity. You will need two following classes:
 - SceneManager (Context probably not very appropriate name)
 - Scene

In context of SceneManager (context) you could use String as in Unity to identify specific scene. The next think I would change is the use of reference. I would opt for pointer, because right now you can not detect errors in your API. Internally you could store it on heap and only in return situation just & for pointer passing. So, the code would look like this:

```
Scene* SceneManager::CreateScene(const String& id); // In case of error nullptr is returned - you
could use other constructs here as well (optional or upp concepts - read Core tutorial for tips).
Fine to return Scene here.
bool SceneManager::RemoveScene(const String& id); // bool is fine for error handling
bool SceneManager::HasScene(const String& id); // nice addition to remove
Scene* GetScene(const String& id); // in context of error - nullptr is returned
```

```
-----
-----
2.

class Service{
public:
    Service(); // <- For one variabe not need (I do not see the code, so I may not understand
    Service(Service&& service); // <- Not need (break the rule of 5)
    Service(const Service& service); // <- Not need (breaks the rule of 5)
    virtual ~Service(); // <- fine not need for implementation - just mark it = default;

    // Do you plan to support concrete message set then replacing message with enum
make sense here...
    virtual Vector<Value> ReceiveMessage(const String& message,const Vector<Value>&
args = Vector<Value>());
```

Backing to static_cast proble. Why not use template method instead and do the cast here - it will be hidden for the user:

```
auto* service =
ufeContext.GetService<Upp::RendererOpenGLService>("RendererOpenGLService");
```

// Pointer for error handling - nullptr in case of error. I suggest using dynamic_cast here. However, you can not distinguish error type here - whenever it fail on dynamic_cast or fail on finding service name... You could add HasService method that will return bool...

Reference:

- https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/rule_of_three

3. Vector<Value> - seems like task for optional not vector. In c++17 std::optional should do the job. In the world of U++ you could return One<Value>. For more information please read - Core tutorial (3.11 One).

Anyway in the example you show - bool should be enough:

```
// override - nice to add this and consider changing the name of the method to
OnMessageReceive
// in c++11 = Vector<Value>() could be simplify to {}
virtual bool OnMessageReceive(const String& message,const Vector<Value>& args = {})
override{
    if(message.IsEqual("AddQueue") && args.GetCount() >= 3){
        try{
            AddDrawToQueue(args[0].Get<String>(),args[1].Get<String>(), args[2].Get<String>());
            return true;
        }catch(Exc& exception){ // Don't like exceptions here :)
            Cout() << exception << EOL;
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```


Generally speaking I do not like exceptions in C++. I enjoy c++17 approach that allows to unpack tuple in one line:

```
auto [service, error] =
ufeContext.GetService<Upp::RendererOpenGLService>("RendererOpenGLService");
if (error) {
    // Log error... etc...
    return;
}
```

// Make further processing with service...

This is exactly the same error handling available in golang. The difference is that it is the only option there :) In your case simple `*service` should be enough. Alternatively you could use exceptions...

Reference:

- https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/structured_binding

Klugier
