

---

Subject: Re: 32 bit wchar merged

Posted by [Tom1](#) on Sun, 26 Dec 2021 21:09:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mirek wrote on Sun, 26 December 2021 21:12Tom1 wrote on Wed, 15 December 2021 08:29mirek wrote on Sun, 12 December 2021 17:41The question now remains: When doing replacement, often some replacement font with black&white emoji glyph is closer in appearance than Color Emoji font. That results in mixing colored and B&W emojis. While technically probably more correct, should in case I am looking for emoji glyph always prioritize Noto Color Emoji (or maybe any color emoji font) over matching appearance of font?

Mirek

Hi,

Prioritizing color emoji would give the most consistent user experience on any desktop environment.

Best regards,

Tom

I made U++ prioritize color emoji in Linux for now. Or most of them, it is not easy to decide which codepoints should prefer color; e.g. copyright sign is considered emoji, but I do not think it would be a good idea to prefer color font for it.

Yes, I see your point. It is indeed difficult to decide which way to go with each of them, but certainly (R) and (C) must be b/w.

This is not a perfectly clear pattern, but it seems that Firefox prioritizes b/w emojis for 16-bit codes and color emojis for higher codes. Also, please note that in Firefox there is a combined gender+skintone+profession in one image, whereas UWord shows a sequence of emojis to identify each property separately. Please open emoji-test.txt (<https://unicode.org/Public/emoji/14.0/emoji-test.txt>) in Firefox (and maybe Chromium too) to see how they render it. Then compare with UWord.

Best regards,

Tom

Edit: Added link to latest emoji-test.txt.