

---

Subject: Re: namespace agnostic layouts refactored  
Posted by [Klugier](#) on Sat, 07 May 2022 07:58:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Mirek,

It seems that with new approach Upp namespace is populated. Here is tutorial/Gui16b/main.cpp file that compiles fine and it shouldn't:

```
#include <CtrlLib/CtrlLib.h>
```

```
#define LAYOUTFILE <Gui16b/dlg.lay>  
#include <CtrlCore/lay.h>
```

```
struct MyAppWindow : public WithDlgLayout<TopWindow> { // Upp:: prefix no longer need and it  
should...
```

```
    MyAppWindow() {  
        CtrlLayout(*this, "MyDialog");  
    }  
};
```

```
GUI_APP_MAIN  
{  
    TopWindow top; // Upp:: prefix no longer required here...  
    MyAppWindow().Run();  
}
```

In context of placing anonymous namespace in header file, a lot of linters detect this as an warning. More info here. BTW, I compiled with CLANG and GCC. The second compiler produces a lot of warnings:

In file included from

```
/home/klugier/upp/.cache/upp.out/tutorial/CtrlLib/GCC.Debug.Debug_Full.Gui.Shared/CtrlLib$blitz  
.cpp:238:
```

```
/home/klugier/upp/git/uppsrc/CtrlLib/PrinterJob.cpp:228:7: warning: 'Upp::PrinterDlg' has a base  
'Upp::{anonymous}::WithPrinterLayout<Upp::TopWindow>' whose type uses the ano  
nymous namespace [-Wsubobject-linkage]
```

```
228 | class PrinterDlg : public WithPrinterLayout<TopWindow> {  
    |
```

IMO, we shouldn't add this warning to the blacklist like we did for "-Wno-logical-op-parentheses" for Clang.

Can not we just follow old approach, but add new types (frames) like requested in #73?  
Anonymous namespace approach seems to have a lot of drawbacks.

Klugier

---