Subject: Re: Is Upp:: output necesarry in *.lay files?
Posted by luoganda on Tue, 14 Jun 2022 19:27:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Why was this done?"
I don't have a clue, but probably because larger code is more organized this way,
one can have same name classes in different namespaces, so that the same name classes
don't mix(wrongly) - which is in the long run for new - larger apps - probably better.

Alboni has a point here also, but this is an old thing probably from always mostly concerning
Label...
When one starts to rearanging controls, some get rearanged and don't compile properly.
But i think this was fixed in new versions with subclassing Label... from control also for chaining
purposses,
so even if controls gets rearanged - it should compile properly.

The old code still might not compile because opening it with new layout editor would throw Upp::
prefix back again.
One possibility for older non-compatible code with new Upp:: thing would probably be compiling it
with command line tool
or the thing like zsoft suggests.

Aha... for bazaar.