

---

Subject: Re: Is Upp:: output necessary in \*.lay files?  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Tue, 14 Jun 2022 19:27:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Why was this done?"

I don't have a clue, but probably because larger code is more organized this way, one can have same name classes in different namespaces, so that the same name classes don't mix(wrongly) - which is in the long run for new - larger apps - probably better.

Alboni has a point here also, but this is an old thing probably from always mostly concerning Label...

When one starts to rearranging controls, some get rearranged and don't compile properly. But i think this was fixed in new versions with subclassing Label... from control also for chaining purposes,  
so even if controls gets rearranged - it should compile properly.

The old code still might not compile because opening it with new layout editor would throw Upp:: prefix back again.

One possibility for older non-compatible code with new Upp:: thing would probably be compiling it with command line tool  
or the thing like zsoft suggests.

Aha... for bazaar.

---